



CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES
PPE-Directive 89/686/EEC + amendments

CNB/P/10.145

Revision 01
Language: E

RECOMMENDATION FOR USE

| | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---------------|
| Number of pages: 1 | Date: 30 th April 2010 | Approval by : | Approved on : |
| Origin : RICOTEST | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group | 30/04/2010 |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee | 13/09/2011 |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Standing Committee | (date) |
| Question related to: Firefighters' boots | EN 15090 | Other: | |
| Annex: | Article: | Clause: | |
| Key words: Marking CR on firefighter's boots | | | |
| Question: It appears that EN 15090 does not contain the optional requirement of cut resistance as foreseen by EN ISO 20345-20347. Some manufacturers want to add this property to their firefighter boots. Of course they are free to do so, but this would lead to a double marking with EN 15090 and "EN ISO 20345 S3 CR", which seems complicated and maybe not necessary. The question is: Can such kind of PPE boot be marked "EN 15090 CR"? | | | |
| Solution: This is a similar question to that detailed in RfU sheet number 10.144 except that in this example the marking includes a protective code that is not described in the primary standard (ie EN 15090). Hence it was agreed to be essential that the number of both standards must be marked on the product (ie "EN ISO 20345" must also be marked on the footwear as marking codes S3 and CR are not included or explained in EN 15090) | | | |
| Sent to: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> members of the VG <input type="checkbox"/> other(s) VG <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HC (2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TC (3) <input type="checkbox"/> SC (4) <input type="checkbox"/> other (5) | | | |

(1) Essential safety requirement
(2) HC = horizontal committee

(3) CEN/TC 161/WG1&2 (Secretary & Chairman)
(4) EEC Standing Committee 89/392

(5) To be specified