



CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES  
PPE-Directive 89/686/EEC + amendments

CNB/P/10.149

Revision 01  
Language: E

RECOMMENDATION FOR USE

Number of pages: 1	Date: 30 <sup>th</sup> April 2010	Approval by :	Approved on :
Origin : CTC		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group	30/04/2010
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee	13/09/2011
		<input type="checkbox"/> Standing Committee	(date)
Question related to: Rfu CNB/P/10.96	EN ISO 20344/5/6/7	Other: -----	
Annex:	Article:	Clause:	
Key words: Marking S1 – S5 of hybrid / "Canadian" boots			
<p>Question:</p> <p>Polymer/textile footwear</p> <p>The Rfu 10.96 recommended solution is to certify to the Directive</p> <p>Is a classification S1 or S5 possible for this kind of footwear?</p>			
<p>Solution:</p> <p>No</p> <p>(Note - This question was similar to that raised in RfU sheet number 145 and asks if the hybrid type footwear with a rubber/polymeric moulded lower part and a leather/textile upper part can be marked with classification codes such as S1 or S5. The answer is no, because such products cannot comply with the current version of EN ISO 20345 as they are neither class I nor class II)</p>			
Sent to: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> members of the VG <input type="checkbox"/> other(s) VG <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HC (2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TC (3) <input type="checkbox"/> SC (4) <input type="checkbox"/> other (5)			

(1) Essential safety requirement  
(2) HC = horizontal committee

(3) CEN/TC 161/WG1&2 (Secretary & Chairman)  
(4) EEC Standing Committee 89/392

(5) To be specified