



CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES
PPE-Directive 89/686/EEC + amendments

CNB/P/10.153
Revision 01
Language: E

RECOMMENDATION FOR USE

| | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|---------------|
| Number of pages: 1 | Date: 9 th June 2011 | Approval by : | Approved on : |
| Origin : RICOTEST | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group | 09/06/2011 |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee | 13/09/2011 |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Standing Committee | (date) |
| Question related to: "Oil & Acid Resistance" claims on outsoles | EN/prEN: | Other: | |
| Annex: | Article: | Clause: | |
| Key words: footwear marked with claims not covered by the standard | | | |
| Question: Outsoles of PPE Footwear presented for type approval sometimes are engraved with the statement "oil and acid resistant", even if "acid resistance" is not covered by any test or requirement. Should this be accepted even if not supported by test data? | | | |
| Solution: The statement of "acid resistance" shall be removed or – alternatively – shall be verified by applying test and requirement of EN 13832/p1 point 4.2.4 and 4.2.5 (for soles), using 2 acids out of those listed in EN 13832/p2 table 4. This will be covered by prEN ISO 20345: 2011 last note of paragraph 7 | | | |
| Sent to: <input type="checkbox"/> members of the VG <input type="checkbox"/> other(s) VG <input type="checkbox"/> HC (2) <input type="checkbox"/> TC (3) <input type="checkbox"/> SC (4) <input type="checkbox"/> other (5) | | | |
| (5) | | | |

(1) Essential safety requirement
(2) HC = horizontal committee

(3) N° of CEN/TC (Secretary & Chairman)
(4) EEC Standing Committee 89/392

(5) To be specified