



CO-ORDINATION OF NOTIFIED BODIES  
PPE-Directive 89/686/EEC + amendments

CNB/P/09.021  
Revision 00  
Language: E

RECOMMENDATION FOR USE

Number of pages: 1	Date: <i>(issue date)</i> 2013 – 11 - 01	Approval by :	Approved on :
Origin : Vertical Group 9		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vertical Group	2013-10-10
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horizontal Committee	2017-11-27
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Standing Committee	(date)
Question related to: User Information	EN/prEN: EN 1621-1: 2012	Other:	
Annex:	Article:	Clause: 8	
Key words: EN 1621-1: 2012 Information by the manufacturer			
Question: The instruction for use shall contain according to clause 8.e.2 the performance of impact attenuation:  <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Is it sufficient if at least the highest (poorest) result according to clause 6.3.4 (ambient, wet, high and low temperature test) is mentioned?</li><li>2) Instead of the exact recorded value obtained during type approval, is it acceptable that the manufacturer states at least the minimum requirement value given by the standard for the claimed performance level?</li></ol>			
Solution: <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Yes, because this value (e.g. mean value for wet test) determines the performance level in the marking. More results can be given if desired by the manufacturer.</li><li>2) No. This would not be acceptable.</li></ol>			
Sent to: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> members of the VG <input type="checkbox"/> other(s) VG <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HC (2) <input type="checkbox"/> TC (3) <input type="checkbox"/> SC (4) <input type="checkbox"/> other (5)			
(5)			